arXiv:1605.06915v1 [math.GR] 23 May 2016

GROUP CUBIZATION

DAMIAN OSAJDA

Abstract. We present a procedure of group cubization: It results in a group whose some features resemble the ones of a given group, and which acts without fixed points on a CAT(0) cubical complex. As a main application we establish lack of Kazhdan's property (T) for Burnside groups. Other applications include new constructions of non-exact groups.

1. Introduction

The initial motivation for the current article was the following well-known question:

Do all Burnside groups have Kazhdan's property (T)?

(See e.g. [BdlHV01, Open Problem 17, page 9], the book [BdlHV08, Open Example 7.3, page 282], or [Sha06, page 1304], and [Sha06a, Conjecture]. In the two latter Y. Shalom presents it as a conjecture and provides a motivation.)

Recall that infinite *Burnside groups*, that is, finitely generated groups of bounded torsion were first constructed by Novikov-Adyan [NA68]. The *free Burnside group* $B(m,n)$ is defined by the presentation

$$\langle s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m \mid w(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m)^n \rangle,$$

where w runs over all words in $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m$. We answer in the negative the above question by proving the following.

Theorem 1. *If the free Burnside group $B(m,n)$ is infinite then, for every integer $k > 1$, the free Burnside group $B(m,kn)$ acts without fixed points on a CAT(0) cubical complex and hence has no Kazhdan's property (T).*

Date: May 24, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20F65, 20F50, 22D10.

Key words and phrases. Burnside group, Kazhdan's property (T), CAT(0) cubical complex.

Partially supported by (Polish) Narodowe Centrum Nauki, grant no. UMO-2015/18/-M/ST1/00050.

As the main tool we introduce a general procedure called *group cubization*.[1] It is a simple trick interesting on its own, that we believe may be of broad use. It works as follows.

Let G be a finitely generated group, and let $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_k$–homology cover of a Cayley graph Γ of G. The covering graph $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ is equipped with a structure of a space with walls, defined by preimages of edges in Γ. We prove the following.

Theorem 2. *For a finitely generated group G and its simple Cayley graph Γ, the $\mathbb{Z}_k$–homology cover $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ of Γ is a Cayley graph of a finitely generated group $\widetilde{G}$, the cubization of G with respect to Γ. If G is infinite then $\widetilde{G}$ acts with unbounded orbits on a CAT(0) cubical complex.*

Theorem 1 follows easily from Theorem 2 since a cubization $\widetilde{G}$ of a Burnside group G is a Burnside group (of exponent multiplied by k).

In the rest of the paper, after some preliminaries (Sections 2 and 3) we prove (in Section 4) Theorem 2, then Theorem 1, and finally we make some remarks on further applications of group cubizations (Section 5).

2. Lifting graph automorphisms

All graphs are connected and simple, that is, not oriented without loops or multiple edges. Let $p\colon \widetilde{\Gamma} \to \Gamma$ be a covering of a graph $\Gamma = (V(\Gamma), E(\Gamma))$. For an automorphism s of Γ, a *lift* of s to $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ is an automorphism $\widetilde{s}$ of $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ such that $\widetilde{s}p = ps$. Recall that graph coverings $\widetilde{\Gamma} \to \Gamma$ are in one-to-one correspondence with subgroups of $\pi_1(\Gamma)$.

Fix an integer $k > 1$. In the current article we consider only the following coverings: The *$\mathbb{Z}_k$–homology covering* $p\colon \widetilde{\Gamma} \to \Gamma$ is the covering corresponding to the kernel of a natural map $\pi_1(\Gamma) \to H_1(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}_k) = \bigoplus_I \mathbb{Z}_k$, where I is the set indexing generators of $\pi_1(\Gamma)$.

For studying in details lifts of automorphisms we use the approach to graph coverings via voltage assignments; see e.g. [GŠ93]. In that language the $\mathbb{Z}_k$–homology covering of Γ is defined as follows. Let T be a maximal tree in Γ, and let $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$ be the set of edges outside T (they may be thought of as generators of $\pi_1(\Gamma)$). Define a group $H := \bigoplus_I \mathbb{Z}_k$. Let $\vec{E}(\Gamma)$ denote the set of *oriented edges* of Γ, that is, the set of (ordered) pairs (v, w) of vertices such that $\{v, w\} \in E(\Gamma)$. A *voltage assignment* is a map $\alpha\colon \vec{E}(\Gamma) \to H$ defined as follows. If $\{v, w\}$ is an edge in T then we set $\alpha(v, w) = \alpha(w, v) = 0$ (we use the additive notation for the group H). If $\{v, w\} = e_i$ for some $i \in I$ (that is, $\{v, w\}$ is not in T), then $\alpha(v, w) = -\alpha(w, v)$ is the sequence having zeros everywhere except the i–th coordinate, where the generator 1 of $\mathbb{Z}_k$ or its inverse -1 is placed. The $\mathbb{Z}_k$–homology covering $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ of Γ

[1] We chose the term "cubization" as similar to "hyperbolization" [Gro87]. Both procedures modify the object while preserving some of its features. In contrast, "cubulation" (see e.g. [Wis11, Wis12]) equips a given object with an additional structure.

is defined as the graph with vertex set $V(\Gamma) \times H$, and edges of the form $\{(v,h),(w,h+\alpha(v,w))\}$, for edges $\{v,w\} \in E(\Gamma)$. The covering map $p\colon \widetilde{\Gamma} \to \Gamma$ is the projection on the first coordinate, that is, $p(v,h) = v$. It is easy to observe that both definitions given above agree. In particular, the covering does not depend on the choice of T, up to isomorphism.

A *walk* in Γ *starting* at v_0 and *ending* at v_n is a sequence $(v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ of vertices such that $\{v_i, v_{i+1}\} \in E(\Gamma)$. For a walk W like this we define its *voltage* $\alpha(W)$ by $\alpha(W) = \alpha(v_0,v_1) + \alpha(v_1,v_2) + \cdots + \alpha(v_{n-1},v_n)$. Observe that for every vertex $u \in \Gamma$ and every vertex $\widetilde{v} \in \widetilde{\Gamma}$ there exists a walk W starting at u and ending at $p(\widetilde{v})$ such that $\widetilde{v} = (p(\widetilde{v}), \alpha(W))$. In what follows we use the convention $p(\widetilde{v}) = v$. For a walk W as above and an automorphism $s\colon \Gamma \to \Gamma$, by sW we denote the walk $(s(v_0), s(v_1), \ldots, s(v_n))$.

Lemma 3. *Fix a vertex u in Γ. Let $s\colon \Gamma \to \Gamma$ be an automorphism. Then there exists its lift $\widetilde{s}\colon \widetilde{\Gamma} \to \widetilde{\Gamma}$ defined as $\widetilde{s}(\widetilde{v}) = \widetilde{s}(v, \alpha(W)) := (s(v), \alpha(s(W)))$, where W is a walk starting at u and ending at v such that $\widetilde{v} = (v, \alpha(W))$.*

Proof. By [GŠ93, Theorem 2] the lemma is true whenever for any closed (that is, starting and ending at the same vertex) walk U in Γ we have $\alpha(U) = 0$ iff $\alpha(sU) = 0$. Suppose that $\alpha(U) \neq 0$. Then there exists an edge $e = \{v,w\}$ outside T such that the number e^+ of occurrences of the sequence (v,w) in U minus the number e^- of occurrences of (w,v) is not 0 modulo k. Since the $\mathbb{Z}_k$–homology covering does not depend on the choice of T, we may assume that $s(e)$ does not belong to T as well. Then $(s(v), s(w))$ occurs e^+ times in sU and $(s(w), s(v))$ occurs e^- times in sU so that $\alpha(sU) \neq 0$. □

3. Cayley graph coverings

Let $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay(G,S)}$ be a Cayley graph of a group G generated by a finite set S. In the current article we assume that Γ is simple. For $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n \in S$, by $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n$ we denote not only the corresponding group element, but also the walk $(1, s_1, s_1 s_2, \ldots, s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n)$, where 1 is the neutral element of G. Moreover, every $s \in S$ gives rise to an automorphism of Γ defined as $s\colon G \to G\colon g \mapsto s(g) := sg$, that is, the left multiplication by s. This automorphism will be denoted by s as well.

Let $p\colon \widetilde{\Gamma} \to \Gamma$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_k$–homology covering. Without loss of generality we may assume that the maximal tree T used for defining p via a voltage assignment contains all the edges containing $1 \in G$. Let α be the corresponding voltage assignment. By Lemma 3, for $s \in S$, the automorphism s lifts to the automorphism $\widetilde{s}\colon \widetilde{\Gamma} \to \widetilde{\Gamma}$.

Definition. The *cubization* of a group G with respect to its Cayley graph $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay(G,S)}$ is the group $\widetilde{G}$ of automorphisms of the $\mathbb{Z}_k$–homology cover $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ of Γ generated by the set $\widetilde{S} = \{\widetilde{s} \mid s \in S\}$.

We will show that $\widetilde{\Gamma} = \mathrm{Cay}(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{S})$.

Lemma 4. *For $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n \in S$, we have*

$$\widetilde{s}_1\widetilde{s}_2\cdots\widetilde{s}_n((1,0)) = (s_1s_2\cdots s_n, \alpha(s_1s_2\cdots s_n)).$$

Proof. We prove it by induction on n. For $n = 1$ the statement follows directly from the definition of $\widetilde{s}_1$, and from the fact that $\alpha(s_1) = 0$, by our choice of T. Assume we showed it for all $n < k$. For $n = k$, by the inductive assumption and by the definition of $\widetilde{s}_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{s}_1\widetilde{s}_2\cdots\widetilde{s}_n((1,0)) =& \widetilde{s}_1((s_2\cdots s_n, \alpha(s_2\cdots s_n))) = \\ & (s_1s_2\cdots s_n, \alpha(s_1(s_2\cdots s_n))) = (s_1\cdots s_n, \alpha(s_1\cdots s_n)).\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 5. *The cubization $\widetilde{G}$ acts freely and transitively on $\widetilde{\Gamma}$. Consequently, $\widetilde{\Gamma} = \mathrm{Cay}(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{S})$.*

Proof. Transitivity follows directly from Lemma 4. For freeness, let $\widetilde{v} = (s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_l}, \alpha(s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_l}))$ and assume that $\widetilde{s}(\widetilde{v}) = \widetilde{v}$, where $\widetilde{s} = \widetilde{s}_1\widetilde{s}_2\cdots\widetilde{s}_n$. By Lemma 4 we have

$$\begin{aligned}(s_{i_1}\cdots s_{i_l}, \alpha(s_{i_1}\cdots s_{i_l})) =& \widetilde{v} = \\ & \widetilde{s}(\widetilde{v}) = \widetilde{s}_1\widetilde{s}_2\cdots\widetilde{s}_n(s_{i_1}\cdots s_{i_l}, \alpha(s_{i_1}\cdots s_{i_l})) = \\ & \widetilde{s}_1\widetilde{s}_2\cdots\widetilde{s}_n\widetilde{s}_{i_1}\cdots\widetilde{s}_{i_l}(1,0) = \\ & (s_1s_2\cdots s_ns_{i_1}\cdots s_{i_l}, \alpha(s_1s_2\cdots s_ns_{i_1}\cdots s_{i_l})).\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $s_1s_2\cdots s_n =_G 1$ and, consequently, $\alpha(s_1s_2\cdots s_n) = 0$. Therefore, $\widetilde{s} = \mathrm{id}_{\widetilde{\Gamma}}$.

From the Sabidussi theorem it follows now that $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ is the Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{S})$. □

Lemma 6. *For $s_1s_2\cdots s_n =_G 1$ we have $(\widetilde{s}_1\widetilde{s}_2\cdots\widetilde{s}_n)^k = \mathrm{id}_{\widetilde{\Gamma}}$.*

Proof. Let $\widetilde{v} = (s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_l}, \alpha(s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_l}))$ be an arbitrary vertex of $\widetilde{\Gamma}$. By Lemma 4 we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\widetilde{s}_1\widetilde{s}_2\cdots\widetilde{s}_n)^k(\widetilde{v}) =& (\widetilde{s}_1\widetilde{s}_2\cdots\widetilde{s}_n)^k(\widetilde{s}_{i_1}\widetilde{s}_{i_2}\cdots\widetilde{s}_{i_l}(1,0)) = \\ & ((s_1\cdots s_n)^k s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_l}, \alpha((s_1\cdots s_n)^k s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_l})) = \\ & (s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_l}, \alpha(s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_l})) = \widetilde{v}.\end{aligned}$$

□

4. Proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2

4.1. **Proof of Theorem 2.** By Theorem 5 we have that $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ is the Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{S})$. D. Wise [Wis11, Section 9] and [Wis12, Section 10.3] observed that the vertex set of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$–homology cover of a graph has a natural structure of a space with walls. Similar structure exists for the $\mathbb{Z}_k$–homology cover (see e.g. [Khu14]): The preimage of every open edge disconnects the cover into k connected components. (In the language of voltages, assuming

the edge is outside T, the k sets of vertices are preimages of $0, 1, \dots, k-1$ by the corresponding coordinate map to $\mathbb{Z}_k$.) Such a partition of vertices of $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ gives rise to $2^{k-1}-1$ partitions into two nonempty sets – these are walls in the space with walls $(\widetilde{\Gamma}^{(0)}, \mathcal{W})$. Obviously, the group $\widetilde{G}$ acts on $(\widetilde{\Gamma}^{(0)}, \mathcal{W})$: For every generator $\widetilde{s} \in \widetilde{S}$ a wall corresponding to $e \in E$ is mapped by $\widetilde{s}$ to a wall corresponding to $s(e)$. Clearly, the action has orbits that are unbounded with respect to the wall pseudo-metric. By [Nic04, CN05] it follows that $\widetilde{G}$ acts with unbounded orbits on a corresponding CAT(0) cubical complex.

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.** Let $G = B(m,n)$ be an infinite free Burnside group.[2] Let Γ be its simple Cayley graph with respect to the generating set $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m\}$. By Theorem 5, the cubization $\widetilde{G}$ of G with respect to Γ is a group with the Cayley graph $\widetilde{\Gamma} = \mathrm{Cay}(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{S})$ being the $\mathbb{Z}_k$–homology cover of Γ, for $\widetilde{S} = \{\widetilde{s} \mid s \in S\}$. For every word $w = \widetilde{s}_{i_1}\widetilde{s}_{i_2}\cdots\widetilde{s}_{i_l}$ we have that $(s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_l})^n =_G 1$ and hence, by Lemma 6, $w^{kn} =_{\widetilde{G}} 1$. It follows that the cubization $\widetilde{G}$ is a Burnside group of exponent kn. By Theorem 2 the group $\widetilde{G}$ acts with unbounded orbits on a CAT(0) cubical complex. As $\widetilde{G}$ is a quotient of the free Burnside group $B(m, kn)$, the latter admits a similar action.

5. Further applications

5.1. **Non-exact groups.** In [Osa14] the author constructs the first examples of finitely generated groups whose Cayley graphs contain isometrically embedded expander graphs. The construction is then used to provide first examples of non-exact groups with the Haagerup property. Such groups contain an isometrically embedded family of $\mathbb{Z}_2$–homology covers of graphs in an expander sequence. The group cubization is another way of achieving that.

Corollary 1. *Let $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ be a Cayley graph containing isometrically an infinite family $\Theta = \{\Theta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of finite connected graphs. For every n, let $\widehat{\Theta}_n$ denote the $\mathbb{Z}_k$–homology cover of Θ_n, and $\widehat{\Theta} = \{\widehat{\Theta}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. Then the Cayley graph $\widetilde{\Gamma} = \mathrm{Cay}(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{S})$ of the cubization $\widetilde{G}$ of G with respect to Γ contains isometrically $\widehat{\Theta}$.*

If instead of groups from [Osa14] one uses the so-called *Gromov monsters*, that is groups constructed in [Gro03] and containing expanders in a weak sense, a weak embedding of the corresponding covers of expanders into the cubization follows.

[2] See e.g. [Ady10] for a description of the state of the art of the theory of infinite Burnside groups.

5.2. **Other applications.** Theorem 2 may be used to establish the existence of unbounded actions on CAT(0) cubical complexes for other classes of groups. In particular we have the following.

Corollary 2. *If a group defined by a presentation $\langle S \mid r_1, r_2, \ldots\rangle$ is infinite, then the group with the presentation $\langle S \mid r_1^k, r_2^k, \ldots\rangle$ acts with unbounded orbits on a CAT(0) cubical complex.*

This applies to the class of groups defined by presentations in which relators are proper powers. Many important classical examples of groups belong here: generalized triangle groups, groups given by Coxeter's presentations of types $(l, m \,|\, n, k)$, $(l, m, n; q)$, and $G^{m,n,p}$ (see e.g. [Tho95] and references therein), or various small cancellation groups (see e.g. [Osa14, Wis11, Wis12] and references therein).

References

[Ady10] Sergei I. Adyan, *The Burnside problem and related questions*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **65** (2010), no. 5(395), 5–60, DOI 10.1070/RM2010v065n05ABEH004702 (Russian, with Russian summary); English transl., Russian Math. Surveys **65** (2010), no. 5, 805–855. MR2767907

[BdlHV01] *Geometrization of Kazhdan's Property (T)*, Oberwolfach Rep. (2001). Abstracts from the mini-workshop held July 7–14, 2001; Organized by B. Bekka, P. de la Harpe, A. Valette; Report No. 29/2001.

[BdlHV08] Bachir Bekka, Pierre de la Harpe, and Alain Valette, *Kazhdan's property (T)*, New Mathematical Monographs, vol. 11, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008. MR2415834

[CN05] Indira Chatterji and Graham Niblo, *From wall spaces to* CAT(0) *cube complexes*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **15** (2005), no. 5-6, 875–885, DOI 10.1142/S0218196705002669. MR2197811

[Gro87] Misha Gromov, *Hyperbolic groups*, Essays in group theory, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 8, Springer, New York, 1987, pp. 75–263. MR919829

[Gro03] ———, *Random walk in random groups*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **13** (2003), no. 1, 73–146, DOI 10.1007/s000390300002.1978492 (2004j:20088a)

[GŠ93] Pavol Gvozdjak and Jozef Širáň, *Regular maps from voltage assignments*, Graph structure theory (Seattle, WA, 1991), Contemp. Math., vol. 147, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993, pp. 441–454, DOI 10.1090/conm/147/01190, (to appear in print). MR1224722

[Khu14] Ana Khukhro, *Embeddable box spaces of free groups*, Math. Ann. **360** (2014), no. 1-2, 53–66, DOI 10.1007/s00208-014-1029-3. MR3263158

[Nic04] Bogdan Nica, *Cubulating spaces with walls*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **4** (2004), 297–309 (electronic), DOI 10.2140/agt.2004.4.297. MR2059193

[NA68] Petr S. Novikov and Sergei I. Adyan, *Infinite periodic groups. I, II, III*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **32** (1968), 212–244, 251–524, 709–731 (Russian). MR0240178, MR0240179, MR0240180

[Osa14] Damian Osajda, *Small cancellation labellings of some infinite graphs and applications* (2014), preprint, available at `arXiv:1406.5015`.

[Sha06] Yehuda Shalom, *The algebraization of Kazhdan's property (T)*, International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006, pp. 1283–1310. MR2275645

[Sha06a] ———, *Rigidity Theory of Discrete Groups*, Lie Groups: Dynamics, Rigidity, Arithmetic; A conference in honor of the 60th birthday of Gregory Margulis (2006), available at `http://people.brandeis.edu/~kleinboc/Margconf/shalom.pdf`.

[Tho95] Richard M. Thomas, *Group presentations where the relators are proper powers*, Groups '93 Galway/St. Andrews, Vol. 2, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 212, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995, pp. 549–560, DOI 10.1017/CBO9780511629297.021, (to appear in print). MR1337297

[Wis11] Daniel T. Wise, *The structure of groups with quasiconvex hierarchy* (2011), preprint, available at `https://docs.google.com/open?id=0B45cNx80t5-2T0twUDFxVXRnQnc`.

[Wis12] ———, *From riches to raags: 3-manifolds, right-angled Artin groups, and cubical geometry*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 117, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012. MR2986461

Instytut Matematyczny, Uniwersytet Wrocławski, pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50–384 Wrocław, Poland

Instytut Matematyczny, Polska Akademia Nauk, Śniadeckich 8, 00-656 Warszawa, Poland

E-mail address: `dosaj@math.uni.wroc.pl`